arXiv:1510.08576v1 [math.FA] 29 Oct 2015

2-Normed $\mathbb{D}$-Modules and Hahn-Banach Theorem for $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functionals

Kulbir Singh and Romesh Kumar

Abstract. In this paper we introduce the notion of $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm on hyperbolic or $\mathbb{D}$-valued modules. Further, we define $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional on these modules and consider some of their properties. We also establish the Hahn-Banach type extension theorem for $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functionals.

Keywords. Hyperbolic modules, hyperbolic-valued norm, real-valued 2-norm, linear 2-functionals, Hahn-Banach theorems.

AMS Subject Classification. 46A70, 46A22.

1 Introduction

The notion of linear 2-normed spaces was initially introduced by S. Gahler [6]. Since then, many researchers have studied these spaces from different points of view and obtained various results, see for instance [2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 16]. In [12, 13], Lewandowska gave a generalization of the Gahler's 2-normed space. The notion of 2-normed spaces is basically a two dimensional analogue of a normed space which got more attention after the publication of a paper [16]. In this paper, A. White defined and investigated the concept of bounded linear 2-functionals from $X \to X$, where X denotes a 2-normed real linear space. Further, he proved a Hahn-Banach type extension theorem for linear 2-functionals on 2-normed real linear spaces. Later, S. N. Lal et. al in [11] introduced 2-normed complex linear spaces and established a Hahn-Banach extension theorem for complex linear 2-functionals. For Hahn-Banach theorems for normed modules, one can refer to [8] and [14].

In the present paper, we inroduce the notion of 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-modules over the commutating non-division ring $\mathbb{D}$ of hyperbolic numbers and prove the Hahn-Banach theorem for $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functionals. Section 2, concentrates on some basic facts about hyperbolic numbers and $\mathbb{D}$-valued modules. In section 3, we define 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-modules. Further, $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functionals on such modules

The research of K. Singh is supported by CSIR-UGC (New-Delhi, India).

and some of their properties are given in section 4. Finally, section 5 present Hahn-Banach theorems for 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-modules.

2 A Review of Hyperbolic Numbers

In this section we summarize some basic properties of hyperbolic numbers which can be found in more details in [1, 7, 10, 14, 15] and the references therein. The ring of hyperbolic numbers is the commutative ring $\mathbb{D}$ defined as

$$\mathbb{D} = \left\{ a + \mathbf{k}b \ \mid \ a, b \ \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathbf{k}^2 = 1 \ \text{ with } \ \mathbf{k} \notin \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Let $z = a + \mathbf{k}b \in \mathbb{D}$. Then the $\dagger$-conjugation on z is given by

$$z^{\dagger} = a - \mathbf{k}b.$$

This $\dagger$-conjugation on $\mathbb{D}$ is an additive, involutive and multiplicative in nature. A hyperbolic number $z = a + \mathbf{k}b$ is said to be an invertible if $zz^{\dagger} = a^2 - b^2 \neq 0$. Thus, inverse of $z \in \mathbb{D}$ is given by

$$z^{-1} = \frac{z^{\dagger}}{zz^{\dagger}} \, .$$

If both a and b are non-zero but $a^2 - b^2 = 0$, then z is a zero-divisior in $\mathbb{D}$. We denote the set of all zero-divisiors in $\mathbb{D}$ by $\mathcal{NC}_{\mathbb{D}}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{NC}_{\mathbb{D}} = \left\{ z = a + \mathbf{k}b \mid z \neq 0, \ zz^{\dagger} = a^2 - b^2 = 0 \right\}.$$

The ring $\mathbb{D}$ of hyperbolic numbers is not a division ring as one can see that if

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbf{k})$$

and its $\dagger$-conjugate

$$e_1^{\dagger} = e_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mathbf{k}),$$

then $e_1.e_2 = 0$, i.e., e_1 and e_2 are zero-divisiors in the ring $\mathbb{D}$. The numbers e_1 and e_2 are mutually complementary idempotent components. They make up the so called idempotent basis of hyperbolic numbers. Thus, every hyperbolic number $z = a + \mathbf{k}b$ in $\mathbb{D}$ can be written as :

$$z = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha_1 = a + b$ and $\alpha_2 = a - b$ are real numbers. Formula (2.1) is called the idempotent representation of a hyperbolic number. Further, the two sets $e_1\mathbb{D}$ and $e_2\mathbb{D}$ are (principal) ideals in the ring $\mathbb{D}$ such that $e_1\mathbb{D} \cap e_2\mathbb{D} = \{0\}$ and $e_1\mathbb{D} + e_2\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}$. Hence, we can write

$$\mathbb{D} = e_1\mathbb{D} + e_2\mathbb{D}. \tag{2.2}$$

Formula (2.2) is called the idempotent decomposition of $\mathbb{D}$. Thus the algebraic operations of addition, multiplication, taking of inverse, etc. can be realized component-wise. The set of non-negative hyperbolic numbers is given by (see [1, P. 19]),

$$\mathbb{D}^+ = \{z = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2 \mid \alpha_1,\ \alpha_2 \geq 0\}.$$

Further, for any $z, u \in \mathbb{D}$, we write $z \leq' u$ whenever $u - z \in \mathbb{D}^+$ and it defines a partial order on $\mathbb{D}$. Also, if we take $z, u \in \mathbb{R}$, then $z \leq' u$ if and only if $z \leq u$. Thus $\leq'$ is an extension of the total order $\leq$ on $\mathbb{R}$. If $A \subset \mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded from above, then the $\mathbb{D}$-supremum of A is defined as

$$\sup_{\mathbb{D}} A = e_1 \sup A_1 + e_2 \sup A_2,$$

where $A_1 = \{a_1 : e_1a_1 + e_2a_2 \in A\}$ and $A_2 = \{a_2 : e_1a_1 + e_2a_2 \in A\}$. Similarly, $\mathbb{D}$-infimum of a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded below set A can be defined.
For any $z = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{D}$, the hyperbolic-valued modulus on $\mathbb{D}$ is given by

$$|z|_{\mathbf{k}} = |e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2|_{\mathbf{k}} = e_1|\alpha_1| + e_2|\alpha_2| \in \mathbb{D}^+, \tag{2.3}$$

where $|\alpha_1|$ and $|\alpha_2|$ denote the usual modulus of real numbers α_1 and α_2 respectively. For more details, see ([1, Section 1.5], [14] and [15]).
Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module. Consider the sets

$$X_1 = e_1X \ \text{ and } \ X_2 = e_2X.$$

Then

$$X_1 \cap X_2 = \{0\} \ \text{ and}$$

$$X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2, \tag{2.4}$$

where X_1 and X_2 are real linear spaces as well as $\mathbb{D}$-modules. Formula (2.4) is called the idempotent decomposition of X. Thus, any $x \in X$ can be uniquely written as $x = e_1x_1 + e_2x_2$ with $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$. Further, it can be shown that if U and W be any two real linear spaces, then $X = e_1U + e_2W$ will be a $\mathbb{D}$-module. We denote the set of all zero-divisiors in X by $\mathcal{NC}_X$, that is,

$$\mathcal{NC}_X = \{0 \neq z \in X \ : \ z \in e_1X \ \cup \ e_2X\}.$$

Definition 2.1. Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and $\|.\|_{\mathbb{D}} : X \to \mathbb{D}^+$ be a function such that for any $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, it satisfies the following properties:

(i) $\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$.

(ii) $\|\alpha x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = |\alpha|_{\mathbf{k}}\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.

(iii) $\|x + y\|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \|x\|_{\mathbb{D}} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.

Then we say that $\|.\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a hyperbolic or $\mathbb{D}$-valued norm on X.

The hyperbolic-valued norm on hyperbolic modules has been intensively discussed in [1, 14] and many other references therein.

3 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-modules

In this section we define $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm on hyperbolic or $\mathbb{D}$-valued modules. Further, we show that how this $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm on a $\mathbb{D}$-module X is related to the real 2-norm on the real idempotent components of X.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module of dimension greater 1. A map

$$\|.,.\|_{\mathbb{D}} : X \times X \to \mathbb{D}$$

is said to be $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm on X if for all $x,\ y,\ z \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ it satisfies the following properties:

(i) $\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 0$ if and only if x, y are linearly dependent,

(ii) $\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|y, x\|_{\mathbb{D}}$,

(iii) $\|\alpha x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = |\alpha|_{\mathbf{k}} \|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}}$,

(iv) $\|x + y, z\|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \|x, z\|_{\mathbb{D}} + \|y, z\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.

Then the pair $(X, \|.,.\|_{\mathbb{D}})$ is called a 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-module. Further, it can be shown that $\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} \in \mathbb{D}^+$ and $\|x, y + \alpha x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}}\ \forall\ x,\ y \in X$ and $\forall\ \alpha \in \mathbb{D}$.

Remark 3.2. Let X_1 and X_2 be two arbitrary real linear 2-normed spaces such that dim $(X_1) > 1$ and dim $(X_2) > 1$ with respective real 2-norms $\|.,.\|_1$ and $\|.,.\|_2$. Let $X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2$. Then X will form a $\mathbb{D}$-module with dim $(X) > 1$.

For $x = e_1x_1 + e_2x_2$, $y = e_1y_1 + e_2y_2 \in X$, we define

$$\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|e_1x_1 + e_2x_2, e_1y_1 + e_2y_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|x_1, y_1\|_1 + e_2\|x_2, y_2\|_2. \tag{3.1}$$

Then the Formula (3.1) is a $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm on X can be verified easily as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 0 &\Leftrightarrow e_1\|x_1, y_1\|_1 + e_2\|x_2, y_2\|_2 = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \|x_1, y_1\|_1 = 0 \text{ and } \|x_2, y_2\|_2 = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow x_1, y_1 \text{ are linearly dependent and } x_2, y_2 \text{ are linearly dependent} \\
&\Leftrightarrow x \text{ and } y \text{ are linearly dependent.}
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|y, x\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.

Further, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\alpha x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \|(e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2)(e_1x_1 + e_2x_2), e_1y_1 + e_2y_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\
&= \|e_1(\alpha_1x_1) + e_2(\alpha_2x_2), e_1y_1 + e_2y_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\
&= e_1\|\alpha_1x_1, y_1\|_1 + e_2\|\alpha_2x_2, y_2\|_2 \\
&= e_1|\alpha_1|\|x_1, y_1\|_1 + e_2|\alpha_2|\|x_2, y_2\|_2 \\
&= (e_1|\alpha_1| + e_2|\alpha_2|)\ (e_1\|x_1, y_1\|_1 + e_2\|x_2, y_2\|_2) \\
&= |\alpha|_{\mathbf{k}}\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $x,\ y,\ z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|x+y,z\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \|(e_1x_1+e_2x_2)+(e_1y_1+e_2y_2),(e_1z_1+e_2z_2)\|_{\mathbb{D}}\\ &= \|e_1(x_1+y_1)+e_2(x_2+y_2),e_1z_1+e_2z_2\|_{\mathbb{D}}\\ &= e_1\|(x_1+y_1),z_1\|_1+e_2\|(x_2+y_2),z_2\|_2\\ &\leq' e_1(\|x_1,z_1\|_1)+(\|y_1,z_1\|_1)+e_2(\|x_2,z_2\|_2)+(\|y_2,z_2\|_2)\\ &= (e_1\|x_1,z_1\|_1+e_2\|x_2,z_2\|_2)+(e_1\|y_1,z_1\|_1+e_2\|y_2,z_2\|_2)\\ &= \|x,z\|_{\mathbb{D}}+\|y,z\|_{\mathbb{D}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-module. Then e_1X and e_2X can be seen as 2-normed real linear spaces with their norms induced by the $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm on X.*

Proof. Let $\|.,.\|_{\mathbb{D}} : X \times X \to \mathbb{D}$ be the $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm on X. Then we can write it as

$$\|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\Phi(x,y)+e_2\Psi(x,y),\ \forall\ (x,y) \in X \times X$$

where $\Phi, \Psi : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ are real-valued functions. For each $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}e_1\Phi(e_1x,e_1y)+e_2\Psi(e_1x,e_1y) &= \|e_1x,e_1y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|e_1x,e_1y\|_{\mathbb{D}}\\ &= e_1\left(e_1\Phi(e_1x,e_1y)+e_2\Psi(e_1x,e_1y)\right)\\ &= e_1\Phi(e_1x,e_1y).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Psi(e_1x,e_1y) = 0$ and $\|e_1x,e_1y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\Phi(e_1x,e_1y)$. Similarly, one can prove that $\Phi(e_2x,e_2y) = 0$ and $\|e_2x,e_2y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_2\Psi(e_1x,e_1y)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= e_1\|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}}+e_2\|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|e_1x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}}+e_2\|e_2x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}}\\ &= e_1\|y,e_1x\|_{\mathbb{D}}+e_2\|y,e_2x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|e_1y,e_1x\|_{\mathbb{D}}+\|e_2y,e_2x\|_{\mathbb{D}}\\ &= \|e_1x,e_1y\|_{\mathbb{D}}+\|e_2x,e_2y\|_{\mathbb{D}}\\ &= e_1\Phi(e_1x,e_1y)+e_2\Psi(e_2x,e_2y). \qquad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

Since for any $x, y \in X$,

$$e_1\Phi(e_1x,e_1y) = \|e_1x,e_1y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|e_1y,e_1x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\Phi(e_1y,e_1x).$$

Thus, $\Phi(e_1x,e_1y) = \Phi(e_1y,e_1x)$.
Similarly, $\Psi(e_2x,e_2y) = \Psi(e_2y,e_2x)$.
Now for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x,\ y \in X$,

$$\|\lambda x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}}\|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = |\lambda|\|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

This implies

$$e_1\Phi(\lambda e_1x,e_1y)+e_2\Psi(\lambda e_2x,e_2y) = |\lambda|e_1\Phi(e_1x,e_1y)+|\lambda|e_2\Psi(e_2x,e_2y)$$

and thus we have $\Phi(\lambda e_1x, e_1y) = |\lambda|\Phi(e_1x, e_1y)$ and

$$\Psi(\lambda e_2x, e_2y) = |\lambda|\Psi(e_2x, e_2y).$$

For the triangular inequality, let $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$\|x + y, z\|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \|x, z\|_{\mathbb{D}} + \|y, z\|_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Then by using (3.2), we have

$$e_1\Phi(e_1x + e_1y, e_1z) + e_2\Psi(e_2x + e_2y, e_2z) \leq'$$

$$e_1\Phi(e_1x, e_1z) + e_2\Psi(e_2x, e_2z) + e_1\Phi(e_1y, e_1z) + e_2\Psi(e_2y, e_2z).$$

Hence

$$\Phi(e_1x + e_1y, e_1z) \leq \Phi(e_1x, e_1z) + \Phi(e_1y, e_1z)$$

and

$$\Psi(e_2x + e_2y, e_2z) \leq \Psi(e_2x, e_2z) + \Psi(e_2y, e_2z).$$

Finally it remains to show that $\Phi(e_1x, e_1y) = 0$ if and only if e_1x, e_1y are linearly dependent and similarly for Ψ. Firstly suppose that $\Phi(e_1x, e_1y) = 0$. This means $e_1\Phi(e_1x, e_1y) = 0$ and hence $\|e_1x, e_1y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 0$. Since $\|.,.\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a 2-norm on X implies that e_1x and e_1y are linearly dependent.
Conversly, suppose $x, y \in X$ such that e_1x and e_1y are linearly dependent. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= e_1\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} + e_2\|x, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|e_1x, e_1y\|_{\mathbb{D}} + \|e_2x, e_2y\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \|e_2x, e_2y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_2\Psi(e_2x, e_2y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using (3.2), we have $\Phi(e_1x, e_1y) = 0$ and similarly for Ψ. Hence Φ is a real 2-norm on the real linear space e_1X and Ψ is a real 2-norm on the real linear space e_2X. □

Definition 3.4. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-module X is called a convergent sequence with respect to the $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-norm $\|.,.\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ if there is an $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x_0, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 0 \text{ , for all } y \in X.$$

Remark 3.5. By using Proposition 3.3, we can write $\|.,.\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|.,.\|_1 + e_2\|.,.\|_2$, where $\|.,.\|_l$ is a 2-norm on the real linear space $e_l\mathcal{A}$, for $l = 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x_0, y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 0 \text{ , } \forall\ y \in X \text{ implies} \\ &\lim_{n\to\infty} \|e_lx_n - e_lx_0, e_ly\|_l = 0, \ \forall\ \ e_ly \in e_lX, \text{ for } l = 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the sequence $\{e_1x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to e_1x_0 in e_1X and sequence $\{e_2x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to e_2x_0 in e_2X.

4 $\mathbb{D}$-Linear 2-Functional on 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-modules

In this section we define $\mathbb{D}$-valued linear 2-functionals on 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-modules and discuss some of their properties. Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be two submodules of X. A map $f : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{D}$ is called a $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-functional on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$.

Definition 4.1. Let f be a $\mathbb{D}$-valued 2-functional on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$. If f is such that for each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{D}$ and for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $z, w \in \mathcal{N}$ we have:

(i) $f(x + y, z + w) = f(x, z) + f(y, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, w)$,

(ii) $f(\alpha x, \beta z) = \alpha\beta f(x, z)$,

then f is called a $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional with domain $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$. Further, it is easy to show that if x and y are linear dependent in X, then $f(x, y) = 0$ for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$.
Let $f : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{D}$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional. For any $x, z \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$, one can write

$$f(x, z) = \phi(x, z) + \mathbf{k}\psi(x, z) = e_1 f_1(x, z) + e_2 f_2(x, z), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\phi(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_1(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_2(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f_1 = \phi + \psi$ and $f_2 = \phi - \psi$.

Let us first show that $f_1, f_2 : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ are real linear 2-functionals, where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are real linear subspaces of X.
Given $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \mathbf{k}\alpha_2$, $\beta = \beta_1 + \mathbf{k}\beta_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ with $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ and $z, w \in \mathcal{N}$, one has:

$f(x + y, z + w) = e_1 f_1(x + y, z + w) + e_2 f_2(x + y, z + w)$ implies
$f(x, z) + f(y, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, w) = e_1 f_1(x + y, z + w) + e_2 f_2(x + y, z + w)$.

Then $e_1(f_1(x, z) + f_1(y, z) + f_1(x, w) + f_1(y, w)) + e_2(f_2(x, z) + f_2(y, z)$
$+ f_2(x, w) + f_2(y, w)) = e_1 f_1(x + y, z + w) + e_2 f_2(x + y, z + w)$.

Hence $f_1(x, z) + f_1(y, z) + f_1(x, w) + f_1(y, w) = f_1(x + y, z + w)$ and

$$f_2(x, z) + f_2(y, z) + f_2(x, w) + f_2(y, w) = f_2(x + y, z + w).$$

Further, $f(\alpha x, \beta z) = e_1 f_1(\alpha x, \beta z) + e_2 f_2(\alpha x, \beta z)$ implies
$\alpha\beta f(x, z) = e_1 f_1(\alpha x, \beta z) + e_2 f_2(\alpha x, \beta z)$.

That is, $e_1((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\beta_1 + \beta_2) f_1(x, z)) + e_2((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\beta_1 - \beta_2) f_2(x, z))$
$= e_1 f_1((\alpha_1 + \mathbf{k}\alpha_2)x, (\beta_1 + \mathbf{k}\beta_2)z) + e_2 f_2((\alpha_1 + \mathbf{k}\alpha_2)x, (\beta_1 + \mathbf{k}\beta_2)z)$.

This implies $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\beta_1 + \beta_2) f_1(x, z) = f_1((\alpha_1 + \mathbf{k}\,\alpha_2)x, (\beta_1 + \mathbf{k}\,\beta_2)z)$ and

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\beta_1 - \beta_2) f_2(x, z) = f_2((\alpha_1 + \mathbf{k}\,\alpha_2)x, (\beta_1 + \mathbf{k}\,\beta_2)z).$$

In particular, setting $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 0$, we have:

$$\alpha_1\beta_1 f_1(x,z) = f_1(\alpha_1 x, \beta_1 z) \text{ and } \alpha_1\beta_1 f_2(x,z) = f_2(\alpha_1 x, \beta_1 z).$$

Thus, the mappings f_1 and f_2 are real linear 2-functionals on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Similarly one can show that ϕ and ψ are also real linear 2-functionals on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Remark 4.2. Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be any two submodules of X. Then we can write $\mathcal{M} = e_1\mathcal{M}_1 + e_2\mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathcal{N} = e_1\mathcal{N}_1 + e_2\mathcal{N}_2$, where $\mathcal{M}_l = e_l\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}_l = e_l\mathcal{N}$, for $l = 1, 2$, can be seen as real linear subspaces of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ respectively. Let f be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$. Then for every $x = e_1x_1 + e_2x_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y = e_1y_1 + e_2y_2 \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &= f(e_1x_1 + e_2x_2, e_1y_1 + e_2y_2) \\ &= f(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) + f(e_1x_1, e_2y_2) + f(e_2x_2, e_1y_1) + f(e_2x_2, e_2y_2) \\ &= e_1 f(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) + e_2 f(e_2x_2, e_2y_2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Thus, by using (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$f(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) = e_1 f_1(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) + e_2 f_2(e_1x_1, e_1y_1).$$

This implies $e_1 f(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) = e_1 f_1(e_1x_1, e_1y_1)$ and $f_2(e_2x_1, e_2y_1) = 0$. That is, $f(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) = f_1(e_1x_1, e_1y_1)$. Similarly, $f(e_2x_2, e_2y_2) = f_2(e_2x_2, e_2y_2)$. Hence, we can write

$$f(x,y) = e_1 f_1(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) + e_2 f_2(e_2x_2, e_2y_2), \tag{4.3}$$

where $f_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f_2 : \mathcal{M}_2 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are real linear 2-functionals.

Remark 4.3. Let f be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional with domain $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$. For each $(x,y) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$, we can write

$$f(x,y) = \phi(x,y) + \mathbf{k}\psi(x,y), \tag{4.4}$$

where ϕ, ψ are functions from $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, ϕ, ψ are real linear 2-functionals. Further, $\phi(\mathbf{k}x, y) + \mathbf{k}\psi(\mathbf{k}x, y) = f(\mathbf{k}x, y) = \mathbf{k}f(x,y) = \mathbf{k}(\phi(x,y) + \mathbf{k}\psi(x,y)) = \psi(x,y) + \mathbf{k}\phi(x,y)$.
Then $\phi(\mathbf{k}x, y) = \psi(x,y)$ and $\psi(\mathbf{k}x, y) = \phi(x,y)$. Thus, (4.4) becomes

$$f(x,y) = \phi(x,y) + \mathbf{k}\phi(\mathbf{k}x, y). \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly, we can also define

$$f(x,y) = \phi(x,y) + \mathbf{k}\phi(x, \mathbf{k}y). \tag{4.6}$$

Thus, for each $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional f, there is a real linear 2-functional ϕ associated to f by the formula (4.5) and (4.6). However, if ϕ be any real linear 2-functional and if we define f as in (4.5) or (4.6), then it can be seen that f need not be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional.

Definition 4.4. Let X be a 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-module and let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be two submodules of X. A linear 2-functional $f : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{D}$ is called $\mathbb{D}$-bounded if there exists $\Delta \in \mathbb{D}^+$ such that

$$|f(x,y)|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq^{'} \Delta.\|(x,y)\|_{\mathbb{D}},\ \forall\ (x,y) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}.$$

If f is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded, then the hyperbolic norm of f is given by

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \inf_{\mathbb{D}} \left\{ \Delta\ :\ |f(x,y)|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq^{'} \Delta.\|(x,y)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \right\},\ \forall\ (x,y) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}. \tag{4.7}$$

Setting $f = e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2$ and $\Delta = e_1\Delta_1 + e_2\Delta_2$ with $\Delta_1,\ \Delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and by using Remark 4.2, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \|e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \inf_{\mathbb{D}} \left\{ \Delta\ :\ |f(x,y)|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq^{'} \Delta.\|(x,y)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \right\} \\ &= \inf_{\mathbb{D}} \{e_1\Delta_1 + e_2\Delta_2\} \ \text{ such that}\end{aligned}$$

$|e_1 f_1(e_1x_1, e_1y_1) + e_2 f_2(e_2x_2, e_2y_2)|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq^{'} (e_1\Delta_1 + e_2\Delta_2)(e_1\|x_1, y_1\|_1 + e_2\|x_2, y_2\|_2)$.
This implies $\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1 \inf\{\Delta_1\} + e_2 \inf\{\Delta_2\}$ such that

$$|f_1(e_1x_1, e_1y_1)| \leq \Delta_1\ \|e_1x_1, e_1y_1\|_1 \ \text{ and } \ |f_2(e_2x_2, e_2y_2)| \leq \Delta_2\ \|e_2x_2, e_2y_2\|_2.$$

Thus, the hyperbolic norm of f can also be defined as

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|f_1\|_1 + e_2\|f_2\|_2, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\|f_l\|_1 = \inf\{\Delta_l\ :\ |f_l(e_lx_l, e_ly_l)| \leq \Delta_l\ \|e_lx_l, e_ly_l\|_l\},\ l = 1, 2.$

Remark 4.5. In real 2-normed spaces, the norm of bounded real linear 2-functional f_1 on $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_1$ can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1\|_1 &= \sup\left\{ \frac{|f_1(x_1,y_1)|}{\|x_1,y_1\|_1}\ :\ \|x_1,y_1\|_1 \neq 0,\ (x_1,y_1) \in \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_1 \right\} \\ &= \sup\{|f_1(x_1,y_1)|\ :\ \|x_1,y_1\|_1 = 1,\ (x_1,y_1) \in \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_1\}\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for f_2. Thus, by using these equalities, formula (4.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= e_1 \sup\left\{ \frac{|f_1(x_1,y_1)|}{\|x_1,y_1\|_1}\ :\ \|x_1,y_1\|_1 \neq 0,\ (x_1,y_1) \in \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_1 \right\} \\ &\quad + e_2 \sup\left\{ \frac{|f_2(x_2,y_2)|}{\|x_2,y_2\|_2}\ :\ \|x_2,y_2\|_2 \neq 0,\ (x_2,y_2) \in \mathcal{M}_2 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\mathbb{D}} \left\{ \frac{e_1|f_1(x_1,y_1)| + e_2|f_2(x_2,y_2)|}{e_1\|x_1,y_1\|_1 + e_2\|x_2,y_2\|_2} \right\} \text{ such that} \\ &\qquad (e_1\|x_1,y_1\|_1 + e_2\|x_2,y_2\|_2 \notin \mathcal{NC}_{\mathbb{D}} \cup \{0\}) \\ &= \sup_{\mathbb{D}} \left\{ \frac{|e_1f_1(x_1,y_1) + e_2f_2(x_2,y_2)|_{\mathbf{k}}}{\|e_1(x_1,y_1) + e_2(x_2,y_2)\|_{\mathbb{D}}} \right\} \text{ such that} \\ &\qquad (\|e_1(x_1,y_1) + e_2(x_2,y_2)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \notin \mathcal{NC}_{\mathbb{D}} \cup \{0\}) \\ &= \sup_{\mathbb{D}} \left\{ \frac{|f(x,y)|_{\mathbf{k}}}{\|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}}}\ :\ \|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}} \notin \mathcal{NC}_{\mathbb{D}} \cup \{0\}, \text{where } (x,y) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can also prove that

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \sup_{\mathbb{D}} \{|f(x,y)|_{\mathbf{k}} \; ; \; \|x,y\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 1, \;\; (x,y) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}\}.$$

5 The Hahn-Banach theorem for $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functionals

In this section we prove the Hahn-Banach theorem for 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-modules. Further, we discuss one of its important corollary.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-module and $z \in X$. Let $[z]$ denotes the $\mathbb{D}$-submodule of X generated by z and $\mathcal{M}$ be any $\mathbb{D}$-submodule of X. Let f be a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional on $\mathcal{M} \times [z]$ (or on $[z] \times \mathcal{M}$). Then there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional F on $X \times [z]$ (or on $[z] \times X$) such that*

$$\|F\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \text{ and}$$

$$F(x, \alpha z) = f(x, \alpha z), \;\; \text{for all} \;\; (x, \alpha z) \in \mathcal{M} \times [z]$$

$$(\text{or} \;\; F(\alpha z, x) = f(\alpha z, x), \;\; \text{for all} \;\; (\alpha z, x) \in [z] \times \mathcal{M}).$$

Proof. Let f be defined on $\mathcal{M} \times [z]$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional. Note that if we take $[z] \times \mathcal{M}$ as domain of f, the proof will follows on the similar lines.

Case 1: Suppose $z \notin \{\mathcal{NC}_X \cup \{0\}\}$. If $\mathcal{M} \neq X$, then there exists $x' \in X - \mathcal{M}$. Define

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x + \beta x' \mid x \in \mathcal{M}, \; \beta \in \mathbb{D}\} = \mathcal{M} + \{\beta x' \mid \beta \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-module. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,z) - f(y,z) &= f(x-y,z) \leq \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x-y,z\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|(x+x') - (y+x'), z\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &\leq' \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}} + \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|y+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$-\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|y+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}} - f(y,z) \leq' \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}} - f(x,z). \tag{5.1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= \sup_{y \in \mathcal{M}} \{-\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|y+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}} - f(y,z)\} \\ &\leq' \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \{\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}} - f(x,z)\} = m. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $r \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $m_0 \leq' r \leq' m$. Setting y=x in (5.1), we get

$$-\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}} - f(x,z) \leq' r \leq' \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}} - f(x,z).$$

$$\text{That is,} \quad |f(x,z) + r|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq' \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+x',z\|_{\mathbb{D}}. \tag{5.2}$$

For any $(x+\beta x', \alpha z) \in \mathcal{N} \times [z]$, define g on $\mathcal{N} \times [z]$ as

$$g(x+\beta x', \alpha z) = \alpha f(x,z) + \alpha\beta r.$$

Clearly, g is a $\mathbb{D}$-extension of f. Further, it is easy to see that g is $\mathbb{D}$-linear 2-functional. To show that g is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded, replace x by x/β in (5.2), where $\beta \notin \mathcal{NC}_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a non zero hyperbolic number. Then we get

$$|f(x,z) + \beta r|_{\mathbf{k}} \leq' \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+\beta x', z\|_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x+\beta x', \alpha z)|_{\mathbf{k}} &= |\alpha f(x,z) + \alpha\beta r|_{\mathbf{k}} = |\alpha|_{\mathbf{k}} |f(x,z) + \beta r|_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &\leq' |\alpha|_{\mathbf{k}} \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+\beta x', z\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} \|x+\beta x', \alpha z\|_{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence g is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded and $\|g\|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}}$. Thus $\|g\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.

Now consider the family $\mathcal{P}$ of all pairs $(\mathcal{M}', f')$, where $\mathcal{M}'$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-submodule of X such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$ and f' is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional on $\mathcal{M}' \times [z]$ with $f'|_{\mathcal{M}} = f$ and $\|f'\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}}$. A partial order $\prec$ on $\mathcal{P}$ is introduced as follows: $(\mathcal{M}', f') \prec (\mathcal{M}'', f'')$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}''$ and f'' is an extension of f' with $\|f''\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|f'\|_{\mathbb{D}}$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be any linearly ordered subset of $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \bigcup \mathcal{M}'$ such that $(\mathcal{M}', f') \in \mathcal{T}$. Clearly $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear submodule of X containing $\mathcal{M}$. For each $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ there exists $\mathcal{M}' \in \mathcal{T}$ with $x \in \mathcal{M}'$. Define $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times [z] \to \mathbb{D}$ as $\widetilde{f}(x, \alpha z) = h(x, \alpha z)$, where h is associated with some $\mathcal{N}$ such that $(\mathcal{N}, h) \in \mathcal{T}$, which contains x. Then $\widetilde{f}$ is well defined as $\mathcal{T}$ is linearly ordered set. Thus the constructed pair $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \widetilde{f})$ is hence an upper bound for the linearly ordered set $\mathcal{T}$. Hence by Zorn's Lemma, $\mathcal{P}$ contains a maximal element $(\mathcal{A}, F)$. Further, $\mathcal{A} = X$, for if not, then there exists $(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{f}) \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(\mathcal{A}, F) \prec (\widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{f})$, which contradicts the maximality of $(\mathcal{A}, F)$. Thus, for Case 1, the theorem is established.

Case 2: Now let $z \in \mathcal{NC}_X$ be a non zero element. Then either $z = e_1 z$ or $z = e_2 z$. Suppose $z = e_1 z$. We can choose some $z' \in X$ such that $z' = e_1 z + e_2 u$, where $e_2 u$ lies in $\mathcal{NC}_X$. Since f is a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional on $\mathcal{M} \times [z]$, we define a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional f' on $\mathcal{M} \times [z']$ in such a way that $f'(x, \alpha z) = f(x, \alpha z)$. Then by using Case 1, we get a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional F on $X \times [z']$ such that $\|F\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|f'\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $F(x, \alpha z') = f'(x, \alpha z')$, for all $(x, \alpha z') \in \mathcal{M} \times [z']$. Clearly, F is also a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional on $X \times [z]$ such that $F(x, \alpha z) = f(x, \alpha z)$, whenever $(x, \alpha z) \in \mathcal{M} \times [z]$. Further, if $z = 0$, then $f(x, \alpha z) = 0$, for every $(x, \alpha z) \in \mathcal{M} \times [z]$. Define F on $X \times [z]$ as $F(x, \alpha z) = 0$, for every $(x, \alpha z) \in X \times [z]$ and the theorem follows. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let $(X, \|.,.\|_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a 2-normed $\mathbb{D}$-module and let x_0 and y_0 be linearly independnt elements of X such that x_0 and y_0 are not zero divisors in X. Then there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional f on $X \times [y_0]$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 1$ and $f(x_0, y_0) = \|x_0, y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.*

Proof. Cosider the $\mathbb{D}$-submodule $[x_0]\times[y_0]$ of $X\times[y_0]$ and define f_0 on $[x_0]\times[y_0]$ with $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{D}$ as

$$f_0(\alpha x_0,\beta y_0)=\alpha\beta\|x_0,y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Clearly f_0 is a $\mathbb{D}$ linear 2-functional with the property that $f(x_0,y_0)=\|x_0,y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}$. Further, for any $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{D}$, write $\alpha=e_1\alpha_1+e_2\alpha_2$ and $\beta=e_1\beta_1+e_2\beta_2$, where each $\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2,\ \beta_1$ and β_2 can be zero or non zero real enteries. Now the following cases arises:
(i) If $\alpha_2=0$ and $\beta_1=0$, then $|f_0(\alpha x_0,\beta y_0)|_{\mathbf{k}}=|f_0(e_1\alpha_1x_0,e_2\beta_2y_0)|_{\mathbf{k}}=0$.
(ii) If $\alpha_2=0$ and $\beta_2=0$, then $|f_0(\alpha x_0,\beta y_0)|_{\mathbf{k}}=|f_0(e_1\alpha_1x_0,e_1\beta_1y_0)|_{\mathbf{k}}$
$=e_1\alpha_1\beta_1\|x_0,y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}=\|e_1\alpha_1x_0,e_1\beta_1y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}=\|\alpha x_0,\beta y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.
(iii) If $\alpha_1\neq0$, $\alpha_2\neq0$, $\beta_1\neq0$ and $\beta_2\neq0$, then $|f_0(\alpha x_0,\beta y_0)|_{\mathbf{k}}=\alpha\beta\|x_0,y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}$
$=\|\alpha x_0,\beta y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}$.
Similarly we have the other cases. Thus, in all the cases we have

$$|f_0(\alpha x_0,\beta y_0)|_{\mathbf{k}}\leq'\ \|\alpha x_0,\beta y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Hence f_0 is a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear 2-functional. Further, (4.7) implies $\|f_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}=1$. Thus, by using Theorem 5.1, f_0 has a 2-linear extension f from $[x_0]\times[y_0]$ to $X\times[y_0]$ such that $f(x_0,y_0)=f_0(x_0,y_0)=\|x_0,y_0\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ and have the same norm as f_0, that is $\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}}=1$.

□

References

[1] D. Alpay, M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro and D. C. Struppa, *Basics of Functional Analysis with Bicomplex scalars, and Bicomplex Schur Analysis*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, 2014.

[2] Y. J. Cho, K. s. Ha and W. S. kim, *Strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japonica, **26**, (1981), 475-478.

[3] C. Diminnie, S. Gahler and A. White, *Strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Nachr. **59**, (1974), 319-324.

[4] C. Diminnie, S. Gahler and A. White, *Remarks on generalization of 2-inner products*, Math. Nachr. **74**, (1976), 363-372.

[5] R. Ehret, Linear 2-normed spaces, Dissertation, St. Louis University, 1969.

[6] S. Gahler, *Lineare 2-normierte Raume*, Math. Nachr. **28**, (1965), 1-43.

[7] R. Gervais Lavoie, L. Marchildon and D. Rochon, *Infinite-dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **1**, No. 2 (2010), 75-91.

[8] R. Kumar, R. Kumar and D. Rochon, *The fundamental theorems in the framework of bicomplex topological modules*, (2011), arXiv:1109.3424v.1.

[9] R. Kumar and K. Singh, *Bicomplex linear operators on bicomplex Hilbert spaces and Littlewood's subordination theorem*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **25**, (2015), 591-610

[10] R. Kumar, K. Singh, H. Saini and S. Kumar, *Bicomplex weighted Hardy spaces and bicomplex C*-algebras*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr., (2015), DOI 10.1007/ s00006-015-0572-7.

[11] S. N. Lal, S. Bhattacharya and C. Sreedhar, *Complex 2-normed linear spaces and extension of linear 2-functionals*, J. Anal. Appl. **20**, (2001), 35-53.

[12] Z. Lewandowska, *Linear operators on generalized 2-normed spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **42**, (1999), 353-368.

[13] Z. Lewandowska, *Bounded 2-linear operators on 2-normed sets*, Glasnik Mat., **39**, (2004), 303-314.

[14] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, C. O. Perez-Regalado and M. Shapiro, *On linear functionals and Hahn-Banach theorems for hyperbolic and bicomplex modules*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **24**, (2014), 1105-1129.

[15] D. Rochon and M. Shapiro, *On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers*, Anal. Univ. Oradea, Fasc. Math. **11** (2004), 71-110.

[16] A. White, *2-Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr. **42**, (1969), 43-60.

Kulbir Singh, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *singhkulbir03@yahoo.com*

Romesh Kumar, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *romesh_jammu@yahoo.com*